

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. When large companies control the airwaves, we get what the head of that company "thinks" instead of factual news--or as in this case--biased, one-sided propaganda. Sinclair should be required by the FCC to air both sides if they want to call it "news". If not, then they should let the public know that this is a "biased documentary". We're entitled to know the difference!

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you